

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/055,875	STERLING ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Roy M. Punnoose	2877	

**All Participants:**

 (1) Roy M. Punnoose.

 (2)   
**Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_**

 (3)   

 (4)   
**Date of Interview:** 26 October 2004
**Time:** 2:32 PM
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

51-61, 83-88

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claims 51-61 and 83-88 were withdrawn, but the text of the withdrawn claims was not included in the amendment. The examiner's amendment was done to cancel claims 51-61 and 83-88, which were not in compliance with 37 CFR § 1.121 (c) 3. Atty. Mark Kurtz (Registration No. 43,711) agreed to the cancellation of the above listed claims..